

Provided by the author(s) and University of Galway in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version when available.

Title	Effects of viscosity and refractive index on the emission and diffusion properties of Alexa Fluor 405 using fluorescence correlation and lifetime spectroscopies					
Author(s)	van Zanten, Camila; Melnikau, Dzmitry; Ryder, Alan G.					
Publication Date	2021-03-19					
Publication Information	van Zanten, Camila, Melnikau, Dzmitry, & Ryder, Alan G. (2021). Effects of Viscosity and Refractive Index on the Emission and Diffusion Properties of Alexa Fluor 405 Using Fluorescence Correlation and Lifetime Spectroscopies. Journal of Fluorescence, 31(3), 835-845. doi: 10.1007/s10895-021- 02719-y					
Publisher	Springer					
Link to publisher's version	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10895-021-02719-y					
Item record	http://hdl.handle.net/10379/18127					
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10895-021-02719-y					

Downloaded 2024-05-19T17:58:24Z

Some rights reserved. For more information, please see the item record link above.



1 2 Effects of viscosity and refractive index on the emission and diffusion properties of Alexa 3 Fluor 405 using fluorescence correlation and lifetime spectroscopies. 4 5 Camila van Zanten,¹ Dzmitry Melnikau,¹ and Alan G. Ryder^{1*}. 6 7 ¹School of Chemistry, National University of Ireland, Galway, University Road, 8 Galway, H91 CF50, Ireland. 9 10 11 * Corresponding author. 12 Prof. Alan G. Ryder, Nanoscale Biophotonics Laboratory, University of Galway, Galway, 13 H91TK33, Ireland. 14 Tel: 353-91-492943 Fax: 353-91-552756 alan.ryder@universityofgalway.ie Email: 15 16 **ORCID**: 17 C. Van Zanten: 0000-0002-5827-8561 18 D. Melnikau: 0000-0002-6588-8122 19 A. G. Ryder: 0000-0002-3133-4340 20 21 22 **Abstract:** Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) studies of the interaction of polymers or proteins 23 in solution are strongly affected by the viscosity and refractive index of the medium, and the 24 25 effects are likely to be more significant with the use of short wavelength excitation (e.g., 405 26 nm diode lasers). Failing to account for these issues can lead to incorrect measurement of 27 average size, conformational changes, and dynamic behaviour of polymers and proteins. Steady-state, time-resolved, and FCS measurements of Alexa 405 in glycerol:water mixtures 28 were performed to determine its suitability for FCS measurements with 405 nm excitation. The 29 30 effects of the refractive index and viscosity on the diffusion coefficient and photophysical 31 parameters (lifetime and relative quantum yield) of the fluorophore were determined. Alexa 32 405 lifetime decreased from 3.55 ns in water to 3.25 ns in a 50:50 glycerol:water mixture, while its diffusion coefficient dropped from 333 ± 16 to $44 \pm 1 \ \mu m^2 s^{-1}$. Lifetime data collected from 33 34 micromolar solutions of Alexa 405 did however also suggest that as solvent polarity decreased, 35 aggregates (excimers) were formed as evidenced by the appearance of a rising edge in the decay plots. The interdependence between lifetime, refractive index, and diffusion coefficient could 36 37 be accurately fitted by a simple polynomial function indicating that the probe is well behaved 38 and predictable in the glycerol:water model system. Overall, Alexa 405 is a most promising 39 and reliable probe for FCS measurement using violet laser diode excitation sources.

- 1
- 2

Key Words: Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy; fluorescence lifetime; Alexa Fluor 405;
 diffusion coefficient; viscosity; refractive index;

5

6

Open Access Version: Note that this is the final accepted version which has not been proof
 corrected or typeset by the publisher. The item of record is the published version.

9

1

2 Introduction

3 Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy (FCS) is widely used in biology and life sciences and encompasses processes such as the diffusion and transport of proteins in the 4 5 cellular environment [1], determination of equilibrium binding constants between proteins and 6 substrates [2], the detection of protein aggregates in live cells [3], and assessment of cellular 7 uptake, distribution and degradation of peptides [4]. More recently, FCS has been used to study 8 protein dynamics [1,5] and polymeric nanoparticles [6,7] in solution, and at how proteins 9 behave when interacting with polymer nanoparticles. FCS has been used to determine the 10 effect of NP size on the magnitude of the protein-adsorbed fraction [8], and protein diffusion 11 within crowded polymer solutions [9].

However, FCS investigations of the interactions of proteins in live cells or in crowded 12 polymeric environments can result in measurement issues related to changes of local viscosity 13 14 and refractive index. Viscosity and refractive index can vary significantly in microheterogeneous systems such as cellular environments [10] and polymeric solutions [11]. In the 15 latter, the very large size of some polymer macromolecules can significantly alter the local 16 viscosity of the medium, even in dilute concentrations [11]. The viscosity felt by the probe in 17 18 polymer solutions depends ultimately on the ratio between the effective probe size and the 19 polymer correlation length (ξ) [12]. High concentration polymer and protein solutions are of 20 significant interest for drug formulation of both large and small molecule Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients (APIs)[13-16]. In addition to the length scale-dependent viscosity, 21 22 one also needs to investigate the impact of refractive index (RI) changes in these concentrated 23 polymer solutions on the emission and FCS data and therefore on any recovered parameters. 24 RI variations in the sample solution, can lead to spherical aberrations which distort the 25 measured fluorescence signal which leads to artefacts in the measured autocorrelation function 26 (ACF). This can lead to the calculation of erroneous values for fluorophore concentration and 27 diffusion coefficient [17-19]. These problems can largely be eliminated, if one is aware of RI changes in the sample, by using the correction collar (CC) of the objective lens and by selecting, 28 29 and fixing an appropriate depth of focus [20].

30 Modern confocal microscopes often have multiple excitation sources available to 31 enable multiple labelling of proteins for a wide variety of applications such as colocalization 32 [21,22] and Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) studies [22,23]. 405 nm diode lasers are now a common excitation source used to excite fluorophores like DAPI [24,25]. Hoechst 33 34 [22,23], and Atto 390 [26]. The use of 400-405 nm excitation for FCS is rare, but it has been 35 used for studying blinking processes in fluorescent proteins and fluorophore stability [24,25]. 36 For more common, diffusion measurement-based FCS applications, the use of UV excited 37 fluorophores is not so widespread for a number of reasons including: biological phototoxicity, 38 photobleaching, significantly increased scatter, and also because of the limited number of bright and stable fluorophores in this spectral range. In additional, quantitative FCS 39 40 measurements require a confocal volume of well-known dimensions for precise data fitting [27], which is usually done by calibrating the confocal volume V_{conf} with a fluorophore of 41

Page 3 of 22

1 known diffusion coefficient. However, there is currently a lack of reliable fluorophores with
2 known data suitable for instrument calibration at or near 405 nm.

Despite all these drawbacks, there is potential utility in using these shorter wavelength 3 4 excitation sources for the analysis of high concentration polymer solutions, as the Rayleigh/Mie 5 scatter can provide a useful source of additional information for characterising these types of sample. Alexa 405 is marketed as a bright and stable fluorophore over a wide pH 4 to 10 range 6 7 with absorption/emission maxima at 402/422 nm, respectively. Here we investigated in detail 8 its potential as a fluorophore for viscosity studies by both ensemble and single-molecule 9 fluorescence techniques. We use glycerol:water mixtures as a model for varying the viscosity and study the impact of changing refractive index on emission parameters. 10

11

12 Methodology

13 Materials and sample preparation: Alexa Fluor 405 (NHS ester) and Coumarin 102 98% 14 were purchased from ThermoFisher and Sigma, respectively. High purity water (HPW) was purchased from Honeywell, Glycerol 99.5+% spectroscopic grade was purchased from Acros 15 16 Organics, and ethanol was purchased from Fisher Scientific. Ludox AS-40 40% wt suspension 17 in water and Atto 425 carboxylic acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fluorophores and 18 solvents were used as received without further purification. Stock solutions of Alexa 405 (2 19 μM) and Atto 425 (30 μM) were made up in HPW and diluted as required. Glycerol was used 20 as the co-solvent in the aqueous solutions of Alexa 405. For the confocal volume calibration, 10 nM solutions of Atto 425 in HPW were used. For all FCS measurements samples were held 21 22 in 8 well chambered cover slides (Nunc® Lab-Tek® II Chamber Slide™ Fisher Scientific). 23 For lifetime and FCS measurements, 1.2 µM and 10 nM solutions, respectively, of Alexa 405 24 were prepared in triplicate in HPW and in 1:9, 2:8, 3:7, 4:6, and 5:5 glycerol:water mixtures. 25 The glycerol:water solutions were designated 0:1 (pure HPW), 1:9 for 10 % glycerol 26 (percentage volume), 2:8 for 20% glycerol, and so on. Thus the 50:50 glycerol:water mixture

- 27 represents a 20% glycerol mole fraction.
- 28 Quantum yield measurements of Alexa 405 were made in water and glycerol:water mixtures
- using Coumarin 102 in ethanol as a quantum yield standard following the procedure set out in an IUPAC protocol [28]. This involved preparing a 2 μ M solution of Coumarin 102 in ethanol, 1.2 μ M solutions of Alexa 405 in water, and in the glycerol:water mixtures. These concentrations were selected to ensure low absorbance (< 0.08) and avoid inner filter effects
- 33 (IFE). All solutions were measured in triplicate and spectral data was corrected for refractive
- 34 index, but not for refractive index dispersion over the spectral range.
- 35

36 **Instrumentation:** Fluorescence lifetime data were collected at room temperature with a 37 FluoTime 200 time resolved spectrometer (PicoQuant, Berlin) using a 405 nm pulsed diode 38 laser (LDH-P-C-400) excitation source. A 10 MHz repetition rate was used and a band pass 39 filter (405 ± 10 nm) was used for the excitation beam. Fluorescence was detected at 422 nm 40 at the magic angle with 16 nm bandpass slits. A diluted Ludox solution (a pure scatterer) was 41 used to collect the Instrument Response Function (IDE). Data use collected until the channel

41 used to collect the Instrument Response Function (IRF). Data was collected until the channel

of maximum intensity has a minimum of 10k counts and the decay curves were fitted byreconvolution using the Fluofit software (PicoQuant).

Electronic absorption spectra (200-700 nm range) were collected using a Cary 60 UV-Vis
spectrophotometer (Agilent) equipped with a temperature-controlled sample cell.
Fluorescence spectra were measured using a Cary Eclipse (Agilent) fluorescence
spectrophotometer fitted with a temperature-controlled cell holder. UV and fluorescence
spectra were collected at 20 °C using 10×10 mm pathlength quartz cuvettes (Lightpath Optical,
UK).

9

10 FCS experimental: FCS measurements were made using an Alba fluorescence fluctuation 11 spectroscopy system (ISS, Champaign Illinois) coupled to an Olympus IX71 confocal 12 microscope equipped with a UPlanSApo $60 \times NA 1.2$ water immersion objective (Olympus). 13 The excitation source was a 405 nm pulsed diode laser (PicoQuant LDH-P-C-400, 390-420 14 nm) operated at a 20 MHz repetition rate using a PDL 800-D picosecond diode laser driver. 15 Laser power at the sample was kept between 11 and 12 µW (measured just above the objective 16 lens). Excitation light was directed by fibre optics into the inverted epifluorescence microscope 17 and then via a 405 bandpass filter (Semrock) into the dichroic mirror (Di02-R405-25×36, 18 Semrock) before reaching the objective lens. The fluorescence emission was filtered through 19 a 405 nm long pass filter (Semrock) then split 50:50 by a beam splitter and sent through two 20 50 µm diameter pinholes into two avalanche photodiode detectors (APDs). The signals were 21 autocorrelated using an SPC-150 correlator card (Becker & Hickl, Berlin). A control sample 22 of HPW was measured under the same experimental conditions to determine the noise floor, 23 which has a contribution from the Raman water band (occurs at ≈ 470 nm). The background 24 signal was negligible. The FCS data was analysed using both the analysis software supplied 25 by ISS (VistaVision ver. 4.2.148) and PyCorrFit, a freely available software package [29]. All measurements were made at room temperature, which was 20 ± 1 °C and controlled via the 26 27 laboratory air conditioning.

28

29 **Modelling:** Strickler and Berg [30] proposed a formula that relates how the radiative decay 30 rate of a fluorophore varies according to the RI of the surrounding medium, when the only 31 mechanism of deactivation is spontaneous emission from the excited state. Theoretical radiative lifetimes τ_{rcalc} were calculated at a wavelength of 422 nm (corresponding to the 32 33 emission maximum of Alexa 405) using the Strickler-Berg equation (see SI for details of 34 calculations). The refractive index at 422 nm was calculated for each glycerol:water solution 35 using the equation devised by Toptygin et al. [31] (see SI for details), as we did not have instrumentation available to make measurements at this wavelength. In order to test if this 36 equation (Equation S-5, SI) provided a reliable estimate for the refractive index at 422 nm and 37 38 20 °C, we calculated the RI for a wavelength of 589 nm (at T = 20 °C) and then compared these 39 values with the experimentally measured RI values obtained using a commercial refractometer 40 with a 589 nm source. The agreement between theoretical and experimental values was fairly 41 good with relative errors of 0.16, 0.29, 0.42, 0.53, and 0.64% for the mixture ratios 1:9, 2:8, 42 3:7, 4:6, and 5:5, respectively. Therefore, we have a good expectation that our calculated

- 1 refractive index values for 422 nm are sufficiently accurate. However, we also need to point
- 2 out that several other factors apart from refractive index also play a part in the emission process,
- 3 they are: solvent polarity, rate of solvent relaxation, solvent hydrogen bond donor and hydrogen
- 4 bond acceptor ability. In particular the hydrogen bonding interactions between water and
- 5 glycerol are not straightforward, particularly near the 50:50 vol% concentration (20% mole
- 6 fraction of glycerol) [32]. Investigating the solvent contributions to Alexa 405 emission was
- 7 not the purpose of this study, as it was considered to be outside the scope of
- 8

9 **3. Results and discussion**

10 Our objective in this work was to select a fluorophore suitable for undertaking FCS 11 measurements in polymer solutions using 405 nm excitation. The goal is to use this excitation 12 wavelength for multi-parameter analysis with light scatter measurements for turbidity and 13 particle size changes of non-fluorescent components, fluorescence for FCS analysis of specific 14 components, and FRET analysis of specific interactions [33]. After evaluating the available fluorophores, we selected Atto 390, Atto 425, and Alexa 405 for further study. The Atto 15 16 fluorophores suffered from significant photobleaching with laser excitation of 8 µW. Lowering the excitation power to ~6.5 μ W reduced photobleaching, however, we still consistently 17 18 recovered lower Atto concentrations from FCS measurements compared to equivalent 19 measurements made with Alexa405. This strongly suggested that the Atto fluorophores in 20 aqueous solutions were being adsorbed onto the polystyrene walls and borosilicate glass floor of the sample chambers. Later when PNIPAm was added to Atto solutions it was observed 21 22 that the correct fluorophore concentration was measured when using PNIPAm concentrations 23 of > 2.0 wt% (**Table S1, SI**). PNIPAm's affinity for glass and polystyrene is well documented 24 [34-36], which suggests that it preferentially coats the chamber surfaces and thus increases 25 fluorophore solution concentration. This surface adsorption loss process was not observed for Alexa 405 and thus this fluorophore was selected as being the best candidate for studying future 26 27 polymer-fluorophore interactions and size measurements where fluorophore concentration is a critical measurand. 28

29

30 **3.1 Steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence measurements.**

31 For practical purposes, in studies involving fluorophores in viscous, polymer systems, it is 32 important to understand in detail how solution properties affect the fluorescence lifetime, 33 spectrum, quantum yield (ϕ), and stability of the fluorophore. Here we analysed the steadystate and time-resolved properties of Alexa Fluor 405 in glycerol:water mixtures of increasing 34 35 viscosity. The use of increasing volume fractions of glycerol, from 10 to 50% changes several additional factors such as refractive index, rate of solvent relaxation, hydrogen bonding, and 36 37 solution polarity which will impact emission properties. Figure 1 shows the absorption and emission spectra of Alexa 405 in all the various solutions. A slight hypsochromic shift (Figure 38 39 1) and decrease in emission intensity (around $\approx 8\%$) were observed for the glycerol:water 40 mixtures. This effect was caused by a decrease in polarity, and changing solvent properties (e.g. decrease in permittivity, changing hydrogen bond donor and acceptor ability), with 41

increasing glycerol concentration [37,38]. It should be noted that the absorbance measurements were made with a 1 cm pathlength cell resulting in maximum absorbances of ~ 0.04 which is too close to the photometric accuracy (± 0.01) and reproducibility (± 0.003 , standard deviation for 10 measurements) of this spectrometer. It was also noticed that the spectra became progressively noisier as the glycerol content was increased. In retrospect, it would be preferable to use a longer pathlength cell for absorbance measurements to reduce measurement error.

- 8
- 9



10Figure 1. Absorption and fluorescence spectra of Alexa 405 in water and in the glycerol:water11mixtures at 20 °C, all at $1.2 \,\mu$ M concentration (spectra are uncorrected). The inset plot shows the

12 chemical structure of Alexa 405 that has an extinction coefficient in water of $\epsilon = 3.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$

14

15 Figure 2a shows the fits to the fluorescence lifetime decays acquired from the different Alexa solutions. The fluorescence lifetime decay of Alexa 405 (micromolar or nanomolar 16 17 concentrations) in water fitted to a single exponential decay with $\tau = 3.56 \pm 0.02$ ns, which 18 agreed with values published by Racknor et al. [39] of 3.57 ns. The data measured for nM 19 solutions of Alexa405 all fitted to a single exponential (Table 1 and Table S2, SI), however, 20 in solutions containing glycerol measured at a higher, micromolar, concentration all had to be 21 fitted with a bi-exponential decay (Table S3 and Figure S-1, SI). The second lifetime 22 component had a negative amplitude, the magnitude of which increased as the glycerol 23 concentration increased. This rise time is indicative of the formation of some form of aggregate [40] (presumably an excimer) in these higher concentration solutions. The fact that the pyrene 24 25 core of Alexa 405 has three SO_3^- groups (inset in Figure 1) indicates that the fluorophore is

^{13 &}lt;sup>1</sup> at 402 nm according to the manufacturer.

- relatively hydrophilic. As the glycerol concentration increases one would expect that the water 1
- 2 in the water solvation shell becomes increasingly compacted and then gets displaced by glycerol, resulting in a reduction in the Stokes shift and lifetime [41]. Furthermore, as the 3 4 solvent polarity reduces then the probability of fluorophore aggregation should also increase,
- 5 resulting in the formation of a faster lifetime excimer.

The differences in the recovered Alexa 405 monomer lifetime for the nM (Table 1 and 6 7 Table S2, SI) and µM (Table S3, SI) concentrations were negligible. The lifetime of Alexa 8 405 drops from 3.56 ns in pure water to 3.29 ns in the 5:5 glycerol:water mixture (in nM 9 concentration, Table 1), which was expected due to the refractive index effect. This was 10 modelled using the Stricker-Berg relationship (Figure 2b) and showed a linear dependency for both these lifetimes with $1/n^2$. 11

12

13 Table 1. Effect of changes in refractive index on the fluorescence decay (calculated and 14 experimental), and the relative quantum yield, of Alexa 405 at 20 °C. N = 6 for experimental 15 lifetime results.

Mixture	Glycerol vol. %	n ^a (calc)	$\tau_{\exp}^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathrm{ns})$	$ au_{r calc}^{c}(ns)$	$\Phi_{\mathrm{rel}}{}^{\mathbf{d}}$
water	0	1.342	3.56±0.02	4.43±0.005	0.93±0.01
1:9	10	1.357	3.53 ± 0.02	4.35±0.021	0.93 ± 0.02
2:8	20	1.371	3.47 ± 0.02	4.26±0.015	$0.94{\pm}0.02$
3:7	30	1.387	3.42 ± 0.02	4.14 ± 0.010	$0.94{\pm}0.01$
4:6	40	1.402	3.34 ± 0.02	4.06 ± 0.015	0.94 ± 0.02
5:5	50	1.416	3.29 ± 0.02	3.97 ± 0.005	0.94 ± 0.03

16

^aRefractive indices calculated at the emission maximum of Alexa 405 (422 nm) for 20 °C according to 17 reference [31]; ^bExperimental lifetime (10 nM conc., mono-exponential decay), the error is the average 18 of the confidence interval reported by the fitting software; "Theoretical Radiative lifetime calculated 19 using the Strickler-Berg equation; Measured relative to Coumarin 102 in ethanol.

20

21 The experimental lifetime values, τ_{rcalc} , were $\approx 22\%$ lower than the predicted lifetimes 22 presumably due to non-radiative decay processes, which varied according to solvent composition 23 because the two slopes were not identical. The predicted and experimental decreases in lifetime were also a bit different over the sample range with the theoretical values predicting a 10% 24 25 decrease whereas the experimental values were 8% (similar to the intensity decrease). This 26 might suggest that another factor was affecting the lifetime such as solvation effects or oxygen 27 quenching. Oxygen quenching is possible, as all solutions were not degassed, however, this did 28 not agree with the quantum yield data which showed no decrease. The lifetime changes combined with the small reduction in the Stokes shift (bathochromic absorption and 29 30 hypsochromic emission) could indicate a small degree of excited state destabilization due to 31 changing solvation of the Alexa molecule.



1 2 Figure 2. Left: Fluorescence lifetime decays of Alexa 405 (1.2 µM) in water and glycerol:water 3 mixtures from 10 to 50% in volume (1:9 to 5:5). Inset shows the full decay curves. Right: Plot of 4 calculated (black squares) and experimental (open circles μ M, blue triangles nM) fluorescence lifetimes 5 of Alexa 405 versus the inverse of the refractive index squared (values calculated at 421 nm) for the 6 solutions of varying glycerol content (from 0 to 50 vol%.). The calculated values (black squares) fit 7 y=0.00602+7.62974x, $r^2=0.998$, while the experimental values fit equation is equation is 8 y=0.86334+4.88594x, r²=0.982 (µM)

9

10 Alexa 405's quantum yield (ϕ) in water and in aqueous glycerol solutions has not yet been reported to the best of our knowledge. Here we measured the relative quantum yield by 11 12 comparison with coumarin 102 a standard of known ϕ (see SI for the formula) using an IUPAC 13 recommended method [28]. Coumarin 102 in ethanol was selected due to its relatively high 14 quantum yield of 0.76 [42], and emission wavelength range, 430-530 nm, which best 15 overlapped that of Alexa 405 when compared to other fluorophores that absorb at around 400 16 nm (see Figure S2, SI for the superimposed absorption and emission spectra of Alexa 405 and Coumarin 102). The relative quantum yield of Alexa 405 showed a very small increase (Table 17 1) from pure water (0.93) to the 50% glycerol solution (0.94). This very small increase ($\sim 1.5\%$) 18 19 is of the same magnitude of the measurement error here, and thus essentially constant. The 20 apparent contradiction with the observed lifetime and spectral intensity could be explained by 21 a change in the radiative decay rate. This could be caused by a decrease in solvent dielectric permittivity (polarity) [35, 43] with increasing glycerol content [41]. A decreasing lifetime 22 23 with a concomitant sight increase in quantum yield has been observed for the emission from a 24 single tryptophan residue in a protein when in varying glycerol-water solutions [31]. Overall, 25 apart from the lifetime, the emission parameters for Alexa 405 are relatively stable over these 26 glycerol water mixtures, which suggest that the fluorophore should be suitable for FCS over 27 this viscosity range.

We do have to note here that glycerol contained a fluorescent impurity (detected using both FCS and fluorescence spectroscopy). However, because nearly all spectroscopy measurements used micromolar fluorophore concentrations the contribution of the known, low-concentration fluorescent impurity had little impact. This fluorescent impurity in glycerol which have been reported before, in our laboratory [43] and elsewhere [44-46] was confirmed by measuring an excitation-emission matrix (EEM) measurements of the blank glycerol:water

1 mixtures (Figure S3, SI). The EEM shows that at 405 nm excitation there was significant 2 emission generated in the 400-500 nm range by the impurity. The emission was ~40% as intense as the Rayleigh scatter and this was further confirmed via single molecule 3 4 measurements (vide infra). For the lifetime measurements using nanomolar concentrations the 5 slit widths and monochromator settings ensured that the contribution of the impurity fluorescence was minimal and had no impact on the recovered lifetimes. However, all FCS 6 7 measurements were made using nanomolar concentrations and the detection channels used long 8 pass filters to collect more emission wavelengths and thus the impurity contribution needs to 9 be accounted for.

10

11 **3.2 FCS of Alexa 405 in water:**

12 In quantitative fluorescence microscopy, accurate knowledge of the dimensions of the confocal 13 volume is of paramount importance, and this is particularly important for reliable extraction of data in FCS and PCH-based measurements. The use of stable, bright fluorescent standards 14 with known diffusion coefficient, D_{coeff} , is the most convenient and common method for 15 16 measuring the confocal volume, V_{conf} , for one photon excitation sources in FCS measurements 17 [27]. The use of diode laser sources emitting at 400–405 nm in cytometry and imaging applications is widespread [47,48] but there is a lack of relevant literature on fluorophore 18 19 standards with known diffusion coefficients for calibration of the confocal volume when violet 20 lasers are used. Atto 425 is one of the few fluorophores with a published D_{coeff} (438 ± 90 $\mu m^2 s^{-1}$ [49] that can be excited at 400 nm. This was used to calibrate the confocal volume of 21 22 our instrumentation to enable the measurement of an accurate diffusion coefficient for Alexa 23 405 which has not been reported previously. It should also be noted that if stored in water (as 24 was the case here), hydrolysis of the ester portion of Alexa 405 occurs and the predominant 25 form of the molecule in solution, considering co-diffusion of the molecule and its counterions, 26 has a MW of 930 g/mol (See Figure S5, SI for a scheme of the hydrolysis reaction).

27 Measurements with Atto 425 in water (10 replicate measurements) and fitting the data 28 to the theoretical ACF (using literature values), we obtained the following values for the lateral 29 and axial radii: $w_0=0.38\pm0.01$, and $z_0=2.1\pm0.4$, which gave a structural parameter, z of 5.5. V_{eff} was calculated to be 1.7 ± 0.2 fL with a corresponding V_{conf} of 0.60 ± 0.08 fL (see SI for details 30 of calculations). This data was then used for determining D_{coeff} for Alexa 405 in water for 31 32 which the experimental data was fitted using two different software programs (VistaVision, which is the ISS fitting software and PyCorrFit developed by Muller et al. [50]). In all cases, 33 the data was fitted to a single species. D_{coeff} was calculated to be $333\pm10 \ \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ (n=57) and 34 $333\pm16 \ \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ (n=57) using PyCorrFit and VistaVision respectively (see Figure S4, SI). 35 These values for Alexa 405 were consistent with literature values for similar fluorophores. 36 Štefl et al. [49] determined the diffusion coefficient of Atto 425 as 438 µm²s⁻¹ (MW=401.45 37 g/mol), while Muller *et al.* [51] obtained 407 μ m²s⁻¹ for Atto 655 maleimide (MW=812 g/mol). 38 39 Furthermore, comparison of the molecular masses of Atto 425 and the hydrolysed form of Alexa 405, suggests that there should be a 1.26-fold decrease in the D_{coeff} . When we applied 40 41 this decrease to the reference D_{coeff} of Atto 425 (438 μ m²s⁻¹) we obtained 324 μ m²s⁻¹, which 42 agrees with our experimentally determined values within experimental error.

1

2 **3.3 FCS of Alexa 405 in viscous solutions:**

3 The water glycerol model is a common system for validating viscosity measurements. 4 Here we wanted to assess the suitability of Alexa 405 for viscosity measurements, and in particular assess the impact of the associated refractive index changes [19,52]. This effect has 5 6 been previously studied at longer excitation wavelengths (e.g. 488 nm [20] and above), but 7 there is little information available for 400-405 nm excitation. Typically, the RI will increase 8 by between 0.2% (water) and 0.4% (glycerol) on going from 488 to 405 nm excitation which 9 will change the depth of focus. A second issue is that the degree of scatter will increase much more dramatically than this, making any misalignment due to mismatch much more serious in 10 11 terms of fluorescence signal quality. Therefore for 405 nm based FCS, the correct use of the 12 correction collar (CC) is more critical to avoid generating additional, unwanted scatter signal 13 [51]. The issue is further complicated when one deals with samples of varying RI, for example, here the RI change (measured at 589 nm),¹ was very significant, $\approx +5\%$, on moving from pure 14 water (1.333) to 50% glycerol (1.415). All of these factors will contribute to changing the 15 16 quality of the collected FCS data.

17 The optimal CC position was determined for Alexa 405 in water and in each 18 glycerol:water mixture by varying the position until the highest photon count rate (k) was achieved, which corresponded to the curves with greatest G(0) amplitude. This has to be done 19 20 at a fixed focal depth, and here we used 100 µm (where this was the distance from the bottom 21 of the cover glass to the centre of the focal spot in the sample). The data collected for each 22 mixture as a function of CC position compared against Alexa in water are shown in Figure 3. 23 The plot shows, as expected, very large changes in signal amplitude as the CC position was changed and that the degree of correction increased as the viscosity/RI increased. Ultimately, 24 25 what is important here is that if one is analysing a heterogenous system with varying viscosity 26 at a fixed focal depth, then the G(0) amplitude will be unreliable. This means that extracting accurate concentration information when scanning (or imaging) across a heterogenous sample 27 28 one needs to adjust the CC position as the RI varies. However, the extent to which the 29 corrections can be implemented is limited by RI change, as here corrections could only be 30 applied up to 40% glycerol.

¹ We do not have the capability to measure RI at 422 nm.

Citation: Effects of viscosity and refractive index on the emission and diffusion properties of Alexa Fluor 405 using fluorescence correlation and lifetime spectroscopies., C. van Zanten, D. Melnikau, and <u>A.G. Ryder</u>. *Journal of Fluorescence*, 31(3), 835-845, (**2021**). **DOI:** 10.1007/s10895-021-02719-y



1

2 Figure 3. (a) Non-optimized CC position: ACFs obtained for Alexa 405 in the glycerol:water mixtures from (from 10 to 50% glycerol) with focal depth = $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ and CC = 0.13; (b) Plot of G(0) magnitude 3 4 as a function of CC position for each glycerol:water mixture at 100 µm focal depth. The red dotted line 5 represents the average amplitude obtained for Alexa 405 in water at the optimized CC position (0.13). 6 (c) Optimized CC position: ACFs obtained for Alexa 405 in the various solutions at the optimized (RI-7 corrected) CC position for each sample; (d) Comparison between the parameters recovered for Alexa 8 405 when samples were measured at 100 µm focal depth and optimized CC position for each mixture 9 as in Table 2 (filled circles) and at 10 μ m and fixed CC=0.13 (open diamonds). The apparent D_{coeff} thus 10 recovered is shown in blue solid lines and the apparent concentration, in dashed red. Concentration of 11 Alexa 405 in all solutions was 10 nM and data was collected at 20 $^{\circ}$ C, n = 9.

12

13 The effect of not correcting for RI with increasing viscosity are shown in Figure 3a 14 where all the data (with solutions of the same Alexa concentration) was collected at a fixed 15 correction collar setting (0.13 which was that determined for water) and at a fixed focal depth 16 of 100 μ m. This means that any concentration information extracted will be very unreliable. 17 Figure 3b shows data collected at every CC position for each glycerol:water mixture. The 18 corrected data, Figure 3c, shows the dramatic improvement in the raw data which will generate 19 accurate concentration values when the correct CC position is used.

In Figure 3d we compare the quantitative values extracted from the ACF data obtained using the individually optimized CC settings, with data collected at a fixed CC = 0.13 and a

- 1 focal depth of $10 \,\mu\text{m}$. The plot shows that the recovered D_{coeff} is nearly identical independent
- 2 of focal depth and CC, but that the fluorophore concentrations recovered in both cases vary
- 3 greatly. The apparent increase in concentration for the corrected data is due to the fluorescent
- 4 impurity in the glycerol, which can be accounted for. The much higher concentrations from
- 5 the unoptimized measurements is probably due to increased light scatter being collected.
- The FCS data collected under optimized conditions, i.e., combination of appropriate 6 7 focal depth and CC position, was used to quantify the viscosity-diffusion dependence for Alexa 405 (Table 2). The D_{coeff} of Alexa 405 decreased from 333 μ m²s⁻¹ (water) to 44 μ m²s⁻¹ (5:5) 8 9 glycerol:water), whilst the apparent concentration increased, from 11.5 to 14.8 nM which was due to the contribution of the glycerol impurity. When measured with carefully controlled CC 10 settings the recovered D_{coeff} values were in good agreement with the theoretical values (Table 11 2). Using the mean D_{coeff} of Alexa 405 in water, $333 \pm 16 \,\mu m^2 s^{-1}$, we determined its R_H to be 12 6.4 Å. However, FCS measurements and data fitting to single species model showed an 13 14 increase in fluorophore concentration, which we attributed to the presence of the fluorescent 15 impurity in glycerol. A two species 3D Gaussian diffusion model which could potentially separate species that differ in size, assuming that they have the same molecular brightness [53] 16 17 was attempted but this too failed to fit the data. Thus, we deduced that this fluorescent impurity 18 and Alexa 405 were similar in size but had different molecular brightness. 19

Table 2. Diffusion coefficient (D_{coeff}) and concentration (*C*) parameters recovered from the ACFs for 10 nM Alexa 405 in water and in different glycerol:water mixtures, all at a 100 µm focal depth. All curves were fitted to a 1 species 3D Gaussian diffusion model n = 9. Corrected concentration accounts for

Gly:water	η_{exp}	η_{theory}	Exp. $D_{coeff} \pm$	Theor. D_{coeff}	$C \pm St Dev$	Corrected C	CC setting
ratio	(cP) ^a	(cP) ^b	SD ($\mu m^2 s^{-1}$)	$(\mu m^2 s^{-1})^c$	(nM)	(nM)	ee setting
0:1	1.00	1.00	333±16	-	11.5±1	11.5	0.13
1:9	1.38	1.37	242±8	242	10.9±0.2	9.9	0.15
2:8	1.98	1.97	169±6	169	12.4 ± 0.2	11	0.17
3:7	3.13	2.97	107 ± 4	112	12.1±0.2	10.1	0.17
4:6	4.86	4.77	69±3	70	12.7±0.9	10.3	0.19
5:5	7.62	8.27	44 ± 1	41	14.8 ± 0.4	12	0.21

24 aViscosity calculated using the Stokes-Einstein formula and the FCS-recovered Exp. D_{coeff} , assuming constant $R_h = 1000$

25 6.4 Å for Alexa 405. ^bTheoretical viscosity η_{theory} of each glycerol:water mixture calculated according to reference 26 [54]. ^cTheoretical D_{coeff} calculated using the Stokes-Einstein relationship and η_{theory} (assuming R_H (Alexa 405) = 6.4 27 Å).

28

To prove this, we a photon counting histogram (PCH) analysis on the blank glycerol:water mixtures and were able to determine that the impurity had an estimated molecular brightness of $\sim 1290 \pm 82$ cpms, which was $\sim 75\%$ as bright as Alexa in water (**Figure S6** and **Table S4** in **SI**). Furthermore, we estimated that the impurity was present at a concentration of ~ 5.6 nM in pure glycerol. When this information was combined with the FCS recovered concentration for Alexa, we were able to correct for the presence of the impurity.

1 Table 2) with the PCH-recovered impurity concentration for the glycerol:water 5:5 blank 2 solution (2.8 nM), we obtain 14.3 nM which was in good agreement with the recovered 3 concentration for the Alexa-containing 5:5 mixture (14.8 nM, as per Table 2).

4 If we assume that Alexa 405 has a constant R_H in the range of 1:9 to 5:5 glycerol:water 5 ratios, then the Stokes-Einstein relationship can be used to estimate the solution viscosity and this is another way to validate our results as the solution viscosity is known. With the 6 experimental FCS-recovered D_{coeff} values we calculated the expected viscosity η_{exp} of each 7 8 mixture, whilst the theoretical viscosity η_{theory} , calculated according to reference [54] was used 9 to determine the theoretical D_{coeff} . We then plotted the theoretical and experimental data for the dependence of the fluorophore diffusion coefficient with solution viscosity over the 0.5 to 10 11 9 cP range (Figure 4a). There was good agreement between theory and experimental values 12 apart from the 5:5 glycerol:water mixture where we observed a greater deviation from both 13 η_{theory} (~ 8%) and the theoretical D_{coeff} (~ 7%). The discrepancy could be due to either an error 14 in sample preparation, or a significant increase in solution temperature for these measurements 15 or related to the glycerol impurity. The temperature dependence of glycerol's viscosity has 16 been widely reported [55,56] and can be significant over a 5-10°C range. However, the 17 measured temperatures in the laboratory were fairly constant (20 ± 1 °C) and the 405 nm source 18 is both weakly adsorbed by the solvent and is working at very low power, such that we do not 19 have a valid mechanism for local heating of the solvent. As this is the only data point with a 20 significant variance, it is more likely due to a preparation error, as measuring out accurate small quantities of the viscous glycerol was technically a bit challenging. In hindsight, an orthogonal 21 22 measurement of viscosity is required here, to validate the value and remove the uncertainty.

23 However, the effect of the fluorescent glycerol impurity at higher concentration in the 24 5:5 glycerol:water mixture is the more likely explanation. By taking this into account, the 25 experimental D_{coeff} value for Alexa 405 in the 5:5 glycerol:water mixture can be corrected for by using a simple relationship. With the brightness ε and concentration C of both Alexa 405 26 and the impurity known from the PCH data, we calculated the ratio between the products of 27 $\varepsilon \times C$, which was 4.7:1 (Alexa 405:impurity). Then, one can model the FCS measured D_{coeff} as 28 $(4.7 \times D_{\text{coeff,A405}}) + (1 \times D_{\text{coeff,imp}})$, and by substituting FCS $D_{\text{coeff,A405}}$ for 44 μ m²s⁻¹, and $D_{\text{coeff,imp}}$ 29 for 53 μ m²s⁻¹ (determined by fitting a 1 species 3D diffusion model to the data collected for 30 the 5:5 blank sample) we obtain $D_{\text{coeff},A405} = 42 \ \mu m^2 s^{-1}$. This is in better agreement with the 31 theoretical, 41 μ m²s⁻¹ value. When this value was used to calculate viscosity, we obtained a 32 more accurate value of ~ 8 cP. These calculations would suggest that the alternative 33 34 explanation for deviations between the experimental and theoretical values caused by a change 35 (a decrease) in the hydrodynamic radius of Alexa 405 as the solvation shell changes with higher glycerol concentrations was not significant here. 36



1

Figure 4. (a) Variation of the diffusion coefficient with viscosity (at 20 °C) for Alexa 405 in water/glycerol mixtures. Experimental D_{coeff} values (n = 9) were determined by FCS (black filled squares) and the theoretical D_{coeff} values (blue diamonds) were calculated by substituting the theoretical viscosity η_{theory} in the SE relationship. In both cases we assumed a constant $R_h = 6.4$ Å. The dashed line represents a Stokes-Einstein master curve calculated for a probe of $R_h = 6.4$ Å; (b) 3D plot of D_{coeff} as a function of RI and Lifetime, the theoretical (open squares) and experimental (optimized CC position at 100 µm, black spheres) D_{coeff} overlap almost perfectly.

1 Figure 4b shows the 3D plot of D_{coeff}, (theoretical and the experimental), as a function 2 of RI and fluorescence lifetime. This demonstrates that Alexa 405 is well behaved (i.e., 3 predicable) in water-glycerol mixtures and thus therefore should be suitable for studying other 4 systems over this range of viscosities and refractive indices. The very small deviation which 5 starts to arise as the glycerol concentration increases is indicative of a non-radiative pathway becoming more significant as the polarity changes. This smooth 3D trajectory can be fitted 6 7 $(r^2=0.999)$ to a simple polynomial $(z = 11.14 - 5.5261x - (3.964 \times 10^{-4})y)$ where z=lifetime, 8 x=refractive index, and y = diffusion coefficient which should facilitate predicting behaviour 9 for intermediate viscosities. The predictability of the lifetime response in this region should also facilitate accurate lifetime-based FRET measurements using Alexa 405 as a donor. 10

11

12

13 **Conclusions**

14 We have characterised in detail the photophysical behaviour of Alexa 405 in water and water: glycerol mixtures to provide useful information for its use as an FCS probe with UV, 15 405 nm excitation. The relative fluorescence quantum yield (0.93 ± 0.02) , lifetime (3.56 ± 0.010) 16 ns), and diffusion coefficient ($D_0 = 333 \pm 16 \,\mu m^2 s^{-1}$) in water of Alexa 405 are reported for the 17 18 first time. We assessed in detail its behaviour with respect to viscosity and refractive index 19 changes in a glycerol:water model system and its quantum yield remained essentially constant, 20 up to a 50% by volume glycol mixture. There was a linear dependence of fluorescence lifetime with the inverse of the refractive index squared, but a significant, difference (18-20% lower) 21 22 from the lifetimes calculated using the Strickler-Berg model. This large deviation suggests that 23 there is a substantial solvent and potential hydrogen bonding effects which are to be expected 24 for these solvents and this fluorophore. Furthermore, lifetime data recorded from micromolar 25 concentration solutions showed the presence of a rise time in the decay curves which suggested 26 that Alexa 405 was aggregating as the glycerol concentration increased. Thus, the emission 27 photophysics warrants further, more detailed investigation. In particular the measurement of 28 absolute quantum yields and a study of the aggregation effects in less polar environments might 29 be necessary for a more complete understanding of its solution properties.

30 Despite the higher degree of light scatter with 405 nm excitation, accurate diffusion 31 coefficients, fluorophore concentrations, and thus solution viscosities can be reliably 32 determined up to ≈ 8 cP with ~10% error when corrections for refractive index mismatch (i.e., 33 correction collar) and the presence of a fluorescent impurity in glycerol were implemented. We have also shown that we can use normal spectroscopic grade glycerol for building viscosity 34 35 models and correct for the presence of the ubiquitous fluorescent impurity. It was determined 36 that the impurity concentration was ~ 5.6 nM, and that it had a molecular brightness with 405 37 nm excitation of ~1300 cpms (for emission >420 nm). It must be noted that impurity 38 concentration will probably be both supplier and batch dependant, and that the molecular 39 brightness values reported here are instrument dependant. Purification of spectroscopic grade 40 glycerol to remove these impurities which avoids the use of complex vacuum distillations is 41 not widely described in the literature. Treatment with activated charcoal and alumina followed

- 1 by filtration might be suitable [57], however, this has not been validated from a spectroscopic
- 2 viewpoint. A potentially useful alternative for viscosity measurements has been suggested by
- 3 one of the manuscript reviewers. Their suggestion was to use column purified PEG solutions
- 4 of the appropriate molecular weight and concentration to produce the required viscosity as this
- should be free from fluorescent impurities, although one needs then to consider fluorophore-polymer interactions.
- Thus, for FCS measurements in samples with homogenous viscosity, accurate diffusion and concentration data can be extracted using 400 nm excitation. However, our studies show clearly, that if imaging/scanning a sample with heterogenous viscosity where the refractive index varies there is potential for error. Unless the correction collar is correctly set for each focal point then the concentration data from FCS experiments will be unreliable, whereas the diffusion coefficient is largely unaffected.
- In conclusion, Alexa 405 has been shown to be a suitable fluorophore for FCS measurements using 405 nm excitation. The fluorophore is also a reliable fluorescent standard for the measurement of the confocal volume when using 405 nm laser diode excitation sources. Its stable spectral behaviour also indicates that it would be very suitable for multi-parameter
- 17 use as a dual colour FRET probe [33].
- 18
- 19

1

2 Acknowledgements

- 3 This publication has emanated from research supported in part by a research grant from CAPES
- 4 (Grant No. BEX 1415-15-8) and from Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) which was co-funded
- 5 under the European Regional Development Fund under Grant number (14/IA/2282, Advanced
- 6 Analytics for Biological Therapeutic Manufacture, to AGR). The FCS instrumentation was
- 7 provided under an Irish Health Research Board Equipment Grant (EQ/2004/29).
- 8

9 Supplementary Information (SI)

- 10 Supplementary information is available <u>HERE</u> from the publisher.
- 11

12 **References**

- 13 1. Balbo J, Mereghetti P, Herten DP, Wade RC (2013) The shape of protein crowders is a major
- 14 determinant of protein diffusion. Biophys J 104 (7):1576-1584. doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2013.02.041
- 15 2. Pack CG, Nishimura G, Tamura M, Aoki K, Taguchi H, Yoshida M, Kinjo M (1999)
- 16 Analysis of Interaction Between Chaperonin GroEL and its Substrate Using Fluorescence
- 17 Correlation Spectroscopy. Cytometry 36
- 18 (3):247-253
- 19 3. Nolan R, Iliopoulou M, Alvarez L, Padilla-Parra S (2018) Detecting protein aggregation and
- 20 interaction in live cells: A guide to number and brightness. Methods 140-141:172-177.
- 21 doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.12.001
- 22 4. Rezgui R, Blumer K, Yeoh-Tan G, Trexler AJ, Magzoub M (2016) Precise quantification of
- 23 cellular uptake of cell-penetrating peptides using fluorescence-activated cell sorting and
- 24 fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. Biochim Biophys Acta 1858 (7 Pt A):1499-1506.
- 25 doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.03.023
- 26 5. Mittag JJ, Milani S, Walsh DM, Radler JO, McManus JJ (2014) Simultaneous measurement
- 27 of a range of particle sizes during Abeta1-42 fibrillogenesis quantified using fluorescence
- 28 correlation spectroscopy. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 448 (2):195-199.
 29 doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.04.088
- 30 6. Wang F, Shi Y, Luo S, Chen Y, Zhao J (2012) Conformational Transition of Poly(N-
- 31 isopropylacrylamide) Single Chains in Its Cononsolvency Process: A Study by Fluorescence
- 32 Correlation Spectroscopy and Scaling Analysis. Macromolecules 45 (22):9196-9204.
- 33 doi:10.1021/ma301780f
- 34 7. Dominguez-Medina S, Chen S, Blankenburg J, Swanglap P, Landes CF, Link S (2016)
- 35 Measuring the Hydrodynamic Size of Nanoparticles Using Fluctuation Correlation
- 36 Spectroscopy. Annu Rev Phys Chem 67:489-514. doi:10.1146/annurev-physchem-040214-
- 37 121510

- 1 8. Cedervall T, Lynch I, Foy M, Berggard T, Donnelly SC, Cagney G, Linse S, Dawson KA
- 2 (2007) Detailed identification of plasma proteins adsorbed on copolymer nanoparticles.
- 3 Angewandte Chemie 46 (30):5754-5756. doi:10.1002/anie.200700465
- 4 9. Watanabe C, Yanagisawa M (2018) Cell-size confinement effect on protein diffusion in
- 5 crowded poly(ethylene)glycol solution. Phys Chem Chem Phys 20 (13):8842-8847.
- 6 doi:10.1039/c7cp08199e
- 7 10. Haidekker MA, Ling, T., Anglo, M., Stevens, H. Y., Frangos, J. A., Theodorakis, E. A.
- 8 (2001) New Fluorescent Probes for the Measurement of Cell Membrane Viscosity. Chemistry
- 9 & Biology 8:123-131
- 10 11. Weissberg SG, Simha, R., Rothman, S. (1951) Viscosity of Dilute and Moderately
- Concentrated Polymer Solutions. Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards47:298-314
- 13 12. Wöll D (2014) Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy in Polymer Science. RSC Advances
- 14 4 (5):2447-2465
- 15 13. Ota C, Noguchi S, Nagatoishi S, Tsumoto K (2016) Assessment of the Protein-Protein
- 16 Interactions in a Highly Concentrated Antibody Solution by Using Raman Spectroscopy.
- 17 Pharm Res 33 (4):956-969. doi:10.1007/s11095-015-1842-8
- 18 14. Ota C, Noguchi S, Tsumoto K (2015) The Molecular Interaction of a Protein in Highly
- Concentrated Solution Investigated by Raman Spectroscopy. Biopolymers 103 (4):237-246.
 doi:10.1002/bip.22593
- 21 15. Garidel P, Pevestorf B, Bahrenburg S (2015) Stability of buffer-free freeze-dried
- 22 formulations: A feasibility study of a monoclonal antibody at high protein concentrations.
- 23 European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics 97:125-139.
- 24 doi:10.1016/j.ejpb.2015.09.017
- 25 16. Szasz C, Alexa A, Toth K, Rakacs M, Langowski J, Tompa P (2011) Protein Disorder
- 26 Prevails under Crowded Conditions. Biochemistry 50 (26):5834-5844. doi:10.1021/bi200365J
- 27 17. Enderlein J, Gregor I, Patra D, Dertinger T, Kaupp UB (2005) Performance of fluorescence
- 28 correlation spectroscopy for measuring diffusion and concentration. Chemphyschem 6
- 29 (11):2324-2336. doi:10.1002/cphc.200500414
- 30 18. Chattopadhyay K, Saffarian S, Elson EL, Frieden C (2005) Measuring unfolding of proteins
- 31 in the presence of denaturant using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. Biophys J 88
- 32 (2):1413-1422. doi:10.1529/biophysj.104.053199
- 33 19. Lehmann S, Seiffert S, Richtering W (2015) Refractive Index Mismatch Can Misindicate
- 34 Anomalous Diffusion in Single-Focus Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy. Macromol
- 35 Chem Phys 216 (2):156-163. doi:10.1002/macp.201400349
- 36 20. Banachowicz E, Patkowski A, Meier G, Klamecka K, Gapinski J (2014) Successful FCS
- experiment in nonstandard conditions. Langmuir 30 (29):8945-8955. doi:10.1021/la5015708
- 38 21. Wang S, Arena, E. T., Eliceiri, K. W., Yuan, M. (2018) Automated and Robust
- 39 Quantification of Colocalization in Dual-Color Fluorescence Microscopy: A Nonparametric
- 40 Statistical Approach. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 27 (2):622-636

- 1 22. Chung HS, Meng, F., Kim, JY., McHale, K., Gopich, I. V., Louis, J. M. (2017)
- 2 Oligomerization of the tetramerization domain of p53 probed by two- and three-color single-
- 3 molecule FRET. PNAS 114 (33):E6812-E6821
- 4 23. Chung HS, McHale, K., Louis, J. M., Eaton, W. A. (2012) Single-Molecule Fluorescence
- 5 Experiments Determine Protein Folding Transition Path Times. Science 335:981-984
- 6 24. Szczurek AT, Prakash, K., Lee, H-K., Żurek-Biesiada, D. J., Best, G., Hagmann, M.,
- 7 Dobrucki, J. W., Cremer, C., Birk, U. (2014) Single molecule localization microscopy of the
- 8 distribution of chromatin using Hoechst and DAPI fluorescent probes. Nucleus 5 (4):331-334-
- 9 25. Girkin JM, Ferguson, A. I., Wokosin D. L., Gurney A. M. (2000) Confocal microscopy
- 10 using an InGaN violet laser diode at 406 nm. Optics Express 7 (10)
- 11 26. Luo D, Kuang C, Liu X, Wang G (2013) Experimental investigations on fluorescence
- 12 excitation and depletion of ATTO 390 dye. Optics & Laser Technology 45:723-725.
- 13 doi:10.1016/j.optlastec.2012.05.003
- 14 27. S. Ruttinger VB, B. Kramer, R. Erdmann, R. Macdonald, F. Koberling (2008) Comparison
- 15 and accuracy of methods to determine the confocal volume for quantitative fluorescence
- 16 correlation spectroscopy. Journal of Microscopy 232 (2):343–352
- 17 28. Resch-Genger U, Rurack K (2013) Determination of the photoluminescence quantum yield
- 18 of dilute dye solutions (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure and Applied Chemistry 85 (10):2005-
- 19 2013. doi:10.1351/pac-rep-12-03-03
- 20 29. Muller P, Schwille P, Weidemann T (2014) PyCorrFit-generic data evaluation for
- 21 fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. Bioinformatics 30 (17):2532-2533.
- 22 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu328
- 23 30. Strickler SJ, Berg RA (1962) Relationship between Absorption Intensity and Fluorescence
- Lifetime of Molecules. The Journal of Chemical Physics 37 (4):814-822.
 doi:10.1063/1.1733166
- 26 31. Toptygin D, Savtchenko RS, Meadow ND, Roseman S, Brand L (2002) Effect of the
- Solvent Refractive Index on the Excited-State Lifetime of a Single Tryptophan Residue in a
 Protein. Journal of Physical Chemistry B 106 (14):3724-3734
- 29 32. Fisher TR, Zhou G, Shi Y, Huang L (2020) How does hydrogen bond network analysis
- 30 reveal the golden ratio of water–glycerol mixtures? PCCP 22 (5):2887-2907.
- 31 doi:10.1039/C9CP06246G
- 32 33. Song D, Yang R, Fang SY, Liu YP, Long F (2018) A FRET-based dual-color evanescent
- wave optical fiberaptasensor for simultaneous fluorometric determination of aflatoxin M1 and
 ochratoxin A. Microchimica Acta 185 (11):10. doi:10.1007/s00604-018-3046-5
- 35 34. Tanahashi T, Kawaguchi M, Honda T, Takahashi A (1994) Adsorption of poly(N-
- isopropylacrylamide) on silica surfaces. Macromolecules 27 (2):606-607.
 doi:10.1021/ma00080a040
- 38 35. Callewaert M, Grandfils C, Boulangé-Petermann L, Rouxhet PG (2004) Adsorption of
- 39 poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) on glass substrata. J Colloid Interface Sci 276 (2):299-305.
- 40 doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2004.03.063

- 1 36. Gao J, Hu TJ, Zhang YB, Li P, Wu C (1999) The adsorption of linear poly(N-
- 2 isopropylacrylamide) chains on surfactant-free polystyrene nanoparticles. Chin J Polym Sci 17
- 3 (6):595-601
- 4 37. Meaney PM, Fox CJ, Geimer SD, Paulsen KD (2017) Electrical Characterization of
- 5 Glycerin: Water Mixtures: Implications for Use as a Coupling Medium in Microwave
- 6 Tomography. IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques 65 (5):1471-1478
- 7 38. Jr. GEM, Quinn RG, Litovitz TA (1962) Dielectric Properties of Glycerol—Water
- 8 Mixtures. The Journal of Chemical Physics 37 (2):239-242. doi:10.1063/1.1701311
- 9 39. Racknor C, Singh MR, Zhang YN, Birch DJS, Chen Y (2014) Energy transfer between a
- 10 biological labelling dye and gold nanorods. Methods and Applications in Fluorescence 2 (1):6.
- 11 doi:10.1088/2050-6120/2/1/015002
- 12 40. Krishna MMG (1999) Excited-State Kinetics of the Hydrophobic Probe Nile Red in
- 13 Membranes and Micelles. The Journal of Physical Chemistry A 103 (19):3589-3595
- 14 41. Behrends R, Fuchs, K., Kaatze, U., Hayashi, Y., Feldman, Y. (2006) Dielectric properties
- 15 of glycerol/water mixtures at temperatures between 10 and 50 °C. The Journal of Chemical
- 16 Physics 124
- 17 42. Rurack K, Spieles M (2011) Fluorescence quantum yields of a series of red and near-
- 18 infrared dyes emitting at 600-1000 nm. Anal Chem 83 (4):1232-1242. doi:10.1021/ac101329h
- 19 43. Casamayou-Boucau Y, Ryder AG (2018) Accurate anisotropy recovery from fluorophore
- 20 mixtures using Multivariate Curve Resolution (MCR). Anal Chim Acta 1000:132-143.
- 21 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2017.11.031
- 22 44. Freire S, de Araujo MH, Al-Soufi W, Novo M (2014) Photophysical study of Thioflavin T
- as fluorescence marker of amyloid fibrils. Dyes and Pigments 110:97-105.
 doi:10.1016/j.dyepig.2014.05.004
- 25 45. Gafni A, Brand, L. (1976) Fluorescence Decay Studies of Reduced Nicotinamide Adenine
- 26 Dinucleotide in Solution and Bound to Liver Alcohol Dehydrogenase. Biochemistry 15 27 (15):3165-3171
- 28 46. Gaiduk A, Ruijgrok, P. V., Yorulmaz, M., Orrit, M. (2011) Making gold nanoparticles
- 29 fluorescent for simultaneous absorption and fluorescence detection on the single particle level.
- 30 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 13:149–153
- 31 47. Chattopadhyay PK, Gaylord, B., Palmer, A., Jiang, N., Raven, M. A., Geoff, L. , Reuter,
- 32 M. A., A.K.M. Rahman, N., Price, D. A., Betts, M. R., Roederer, M. (2012) Brilliant Violet
- 33 Fluorophores: A New Class of Ultrabright Fluorescent Compounds for Immunofluorescence
- 34 Experiments. Cytometry Part A 81A:456-466
- 48. Telford W, Kapoor V, Jackson J, Burgess W, Buller G, Hawley T, Hawley R (2006) Violet
- 36 laser diodes in flow cytometry: an update. Cytometry A 69 (11):1153-1160.
- 37 doi:10.1002/cyto.a.20340
- 38 49. Stefl M, Benda A, Gregor I, Hof M (2014) The fast polarization modulation based dual-
- focus fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. Opt Express 22 (1):885-899.
 doi:10.1364/OE.22.000885
- 41 50. P. Muller PS, T. Weidemann (2014) PyCorrFit—generic data evaluation for fluorescence
- 42 correlation spectroscopy. Bioinformatics Applications Note 30 (17):2532–2533

- 1 51. Müller CB, Loman A, Pacheco V, Koberling F, Willbold D, Richtering W, Enderlein J
- 2 (2008) Precise measurement of diffusion by multi-color dual-focus fluorescence correlation
- 3 spectroscopy. EPL (Europhysics Letters) 83 (4):46001. doi:10.1209/0295-5075/83/46001
- 4 52. Diaspro A, Federici, F., Robello, M. (2002) Influence of Refractive Index Mismatch in
- 5 high-resolution three-dimensional confocal microscopy. Applied Optics 41 (4):685-690
- 53. Meseth U, Wohland, T., Rigler, R., Vogel, H. (1999) Resolution of Fluorescence
 Correlation Measurements. Biophysical Journal 76:1619-1631
- / Correlation Measurements. Biophysical Journal 76:1619-1631
- 8 54. Volk A, Kahler CJ (2018) Density model for aqueous glycerol solutions Andreas. Exp
- 9 Fluids 59 (5):4. doi:10.1007/s00348-018-2527-y
- 10 55. Cook RL, Jr. HEK, Herbst CA, Herschbach DR (1994) Pressure and temperature dependent
- 11 viscosity of two glass forming liquids: Glycerol and dibutyl phthalate. The Journal of Chemical
- 12 Physics 100 (7):5178-5189. doi:10.1063/1.467276
- 13 56. Ferreira AGM, Egas APV, Fonseca IMA, Costa AC, Abreu DC, Lobo LQ (2017) The
- viscosity of glycerol. The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics 113:162-182.
 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2017.05.042
- 16 57. Armarego WLF, Chai CLL (2003) Purification of Laboratory Chemicals. 5th edn.
- 17 Butterworth-Heinemann,